23/08/

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD Washington, D.C.

Finance Docket No. 35539

JIE AO AND XIN ZHOU PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER

REPLY OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON TO PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER

Communications with respect to this document should be addressed to:

Part of Public Record

Charles A. Spitulnik
W. Eric Pilsk
Allison I. Fultz
Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell LLP
1001 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 955-5600
Email: cspitulnik@kaplankirsch.com
epilsk@kaplankirsch.com
afultz@kaplankirsch.com

Counsel for King County, Washington

Dated: October 11, 2011

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD Washington, D.C.

Finance Docket No. 35539

JIE AO AND XIN ZHOU PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER

REPLY OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON TO PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER

King County, Washington, joins with the Port of Seattle to oppose the request of Petitioners Jie Ao and Xin Zhou to institute a declaratory order proceeding. King County is the trail sponsor of the land at issue in this matter and therefore has a substantial interest in this matter.¹

This Board's precedent is clear that attempts to use state law, such as condemnation or adverse possession, to convert railroad right-of-way to non-railroad uses are generally preempted. E.g., City of Lincoln—Petition for Declaratory Order, STB l'inance Docket No. 34425 (STB served Aug. 11, 2004), aff'd, City of Lincoln v. STB, 414 F.3d 858 (8th Cir. 2005). Even if Petitioners' attempt to use state law to convert portions of the Washington Branch Line to non-railroad uses is not categorically preempted, the Port of Seattle has demonstrated in its Reply to Jie Ao's and Xin Zhou's Petition for Declaratory Order filed in this Docket today, that Petitioners have failed to meet their burden of showing that such conversion would not unreasonably interfere with transportation by rail.

¹ BNSF Ry. Co. – Acquisition Exemption, AB-6 (Sub-No. 464X) (Service Date Sept. 26, 2008); BNSF Ry. Co. – Abandonment Exemption in King Cnty., WA, STB Docket No. AB-6 (Sub-No. 464X) (Service Date Oct. 27, 2008).

Accordingly, the County requests the Board to deny the Petition. In the event the Board institutes a proceeding and issues a procedural schedule allowing for additional filings, King County intends to participate in such proceeding in order to fulfill its obligations as trail sponsor to protect the integrity of the right-of-way.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Spitulnik

W. Eric Pilsk Allison I. Fultz

Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell LLP

1001 Connecticut Avenuc, N.W., Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 955-5600

Email: cspitulnik@kaplankirsch.com

epilsk@kaplankirsch.com afultz@kaplankirsch.com

Dated: October 11, 2011

Counsel for King County, Washington

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that I have this 11th day of October, 2011, caused to be served a copy of the foregoing Reply of King County, Washington to Petition for Declaratory Order, upon the following parties of record:

Keith E. Moxon GordonDerr LLP 2025 First Avenue – Suite 500 Seattle, WA 98121-3140

Peter W. Denton Nossaman LLP 1666 K Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006

W. Eric Pilsk

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD Washington, D.C.

Finance Docket No. 35539

JIE AO AND XIN ZHOU PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER

REPLY OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON TO PETITION FOR DECLARATORY ORDER

Communications with respect to this document should be addressed to:

Charles A. Spitulnik
W. Eric Pilsk
Allison I. Fultz
Kaplan Kirsch & Rockwell LLP
1001 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 955-5600
Email: cspitulnik@kaplankirsch.com
epilsk@kaplankirsch.com
afultz@kaplankirsch.com

Counsel for King County, Washington

Dated: October 11, 2011